

Groundwater Condition

REPORT | SANTA CLARA COUNTY

July 2021

SUMMARY

This report summarizes June 2021 groundwater storage, recharge, pumping, and level conditions for the Santa Clara Subbasin (including the Santa Clara Plain and Coyote Valley groundwater management areas) and the Llagas Subbasin. Overall, countywide groundwater storage and water levels are declining because of extreme drought conditions. Table 1 summarizes current conditions.

Current groundwater levels in most index wells are below their 5-year averages due reduced recharge and increased pumping. While Valley Water is pursuing emergency imported water supplies for additional recharge, availability is uncertain. Assuming limited emergency imported water purchases and no additional water use reduction, total storage at the end of 2021 is projected to be in Stage 2 (Alert) of Valley Water's Water Shortage Contingency Plan.

- January to June managed recharge is 63% to 80% of the five-year average.
- January to May pumping is 100% to 153% of the five-year average.
- Groundwater index well water levels for June 2021 range from 4 to 23 feet lower than the June levels of 2020.

Table 1. Summary of Current Groundwater Conditions

	Santa Clara Subbasin		Llagas Subbasin
	Santa Clara Plain	Coyote Valley	
June 2021 managed recharge estimate	600	500	800
YTD 2021 managed recharge estimate	17,600	5,900	7,000
YTD 2021 managed recharge as % of five-year average	63%	70%	80%
May 2021 pumping estimate	10,700	1,000	4,200
YTD 2021 pumping estimate	33,900	3,900	13,300
YTD 2021 pumping as % of five-year average	153%	100%	123%
Current index well groundwater levels compared to June of 2020	14 feet lower	4 feet lower	23 feet lower

All volumes are in acre-feet.

YTD = Year-to-date

Contact Us For questions, contact
Roger Pierno at (408) 630-2738



Groundwater Recharge

- Figures 1, 2, and 3 show the cumulative managed recharge for 2021 compared to the average of the last five years (2016 – 2020).
- Through June, managed recharge is lower in the Santa Clara Plain, Coyote Valley, and Llagas Subbasin than the average of the previous five years due to drought conditions and limited surface water supplies.
- Managed recharge depends on many factors, including water demand and availability, regulatory needs, groundwater storage, and facility maintenance.

Figure 1. Estimated Cumulative Managed Recharge in the Santa Clara Plain




Figure 2. Estimated Cumulative Managed Recharge in the Coyote Valley





Figure 3. Estimated Cumulative Managed Recharge in the Llagas Subbasin



Groundwater Pumping

- Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the cumulative groundwater pumping for 2021 compared to the average of the last five years (2016 – 2020).
- Pumping estimates for January to May 2021 are based on data from water retailers.
- 2021 pumping to date is similar to or higher than the average of the previous five years in the Santa Clara Plain, Coyote Valley, and Llagas Subbasin.

Figure 4. Estimated Cumulative Santa Clara Plain Pumping




Figure 5. Estimated Cumulative Coyote Valley Pumping






Figure 6. Estimated Cumulative Llagas Subbasin Pumping



Groundwater Levels

Groundwater levels continue to decline throughout the county due to extreme drought conditions. Current groundwater levels are represented using eleven monitoring wells distributed across the sub-basins, as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Location of Selected Monitoring Wells




In Figures 8 through 18, hydrographs with June 2021 water levels from the eleven index wells are compared to water levels from (i) May 2021, (ii) June 2020, (iii) June 2004 (a normal year), (iv) the prior five-year (2016-2020) average of June measurements, and (v) June 1987 (a dry year).

These hydrographs show that the June 2021 groundwater levels were:

- i. Lower than May 2021 levels in all eleven wells with levels by 1 to 12 feet,
- ii. Higher than the June 2020 level in one well by 1 foot and lower in ten wells by 1 to 23 feet,
- iii. Higher in three wells by 1 to 22 feet and lower in seven wells by 4 to 44 feet compared to June 2004 (a normal year); one well does not have a 2004 water level,
- iv. Higher in one well by 3 feet, lower in ten wells by 6 to 35 feet, as compared to the average of the previous five-years of June readings, and
- v. Higher in eight wells by 1 to 99 feet and lower in three wells by 2 to 7 feet as compared to June 1987 (a dry year).

Figure 8. Milpitas Well Hydrograph



A measured value at Milpitas for 2004 is not available for comparison. Between March 1998 and October 2006, this well was flowing artesian and not measured. In October 2006, the well was modified to allow measurement of artesian pressures.

Figure 9. Sunnyvale Well Hydrograph




Figure 10. San Jose Well Hydrograph (Index Well for the Santa Clara Plain)




Figure 11. Santa Clara Well Hydrograph




Figure 12. South Santa Clara Well Hydrograph





Figure 13. Campbell Well Hydrograph



The Campbell index well was replaced in August 2015 with a nearby well with similar water levels. Historic comparisons for 1987 and 2004 use data from the former index well (07S01W34F001).

Figure 14. South San Jose Well Hydrograph




Figure 15. Coyote Valley Well Hydrograph (Index Well for the Coyote Valley)




Figure 16. Morgan Hill Well Hydrograph





Figure 17. San Martin Well Hydrograph (Index Well for the Llagas Subbasin)



The San Martin index well was replaced in January 2021 with a nearby well with water levels similar to the prior wells but with a more complete record and improved access.

Figure 18. Gilroy Well Hydrograph

